

ISSN 2518-1467 (Online),
ISSN 1991-3494 (Print)

ҚАЗАҚСТАН РЕСПУБЛИКАСЫ
ҰЛТТЫҚ ҒЫЛЫМ АКАДЕМИЯСЫНЫҢ

Х А Б А Р Ш Ы С Ы

ВЕСТНИК

НАЦИОНАЛЬНОЙ АКАДЕМИИ НАУК
РЕСПУБЛИКИ КАЗАХСТАН

THE BULLETIN

THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN

PUBLISHED SINCE 1944

2

MARCH – APRIL 2020

ALMATY, NAS RK

NAS RK is pleased to announce that Bulletin of NAS RK scientific journal has been accepted for indexing in the Emerging Sources Citation Index, a new edition of Web of Science. Content in this index is under consideration by Clarivate Analytics to be accepted in the Science Citation Index Expanded, the Social Sciences Citation Index, and the Arts & Humanities Citation Index. The quality and depth of content Web of Science offers to researchers, authors, publishers, and institutions sets it apart from other research databases. The inclusion of Bulletin of NAS RK in the Emerging Sources Citation Index demonstrates our dedication to providing the most relevant and influential multidiscipline content to our community.

Қазақстан Республикасы Ұлттық ғылым академиясы "ҚР ҰҒА Хабаршысы" ғылыми журналының Web of Science-тің жаңаланған нұсқасы Emerging Sources Citation Index-те индекстелуге қабылданғанын хабарлайды. Бұл индекстелу барысында Clarivate Analytics компаниясы журналды одан әрі the Science Citation Index Expanded, the Social Sciences Citation Index және the Arts & Humanities Citation Index-ке қабылдау мәселесін қарастыруда. Web of Science зерттеушілер, авторлар, баспашылар мен мекемелерге контент тереңдігі мен сапасын ұсынады. ҚР ҰҒА Хабаршысының Emerging Sources Citation Index-ке енуі біздің қоғамдастық үшін ең өзекті және беделді мультидисциплинарлы контентке адалдығымызды білдіреді.

НАН РК сообщает, что научный журнал «Вестник НАН РК» был принят для индексирования в Emerging Sources Citation Index, обновленной версии Web of Science. Содержание в этом индексировании находится в стадии рассмотрения компанией Clarivate Analytics для дальнейшего принятия журнала в the Science Citation Index Expanded, the Social Sciences Citation Index и the Arts & Humanities Citation Index. Web of Science предлагает качество и глубину контента для исследователей, авторов, издателей и учреждений. Включение Вестника НАН РК в Emerging Sources Citation Index демонстрирует нашу приверженность к наиболее актуальному и влиятельному мультидисциплинарному контенту для нашего сообщества.

Б а с р е д а к т о р ы

х.ғ.д., проф., ҚР ҰҒА академигі

М.Ж. Жұрынов

Р е д а к ц и я а л қ а с ы:

Абиев Р.Ш. проф. (Ресей)
Абишев М.Е. проф., корр.-мүшесі (Қазақстан)
Аврамов К.В. проф. (Украина)
Аппель Юрген проф. (Германия)
Баймуқанов Д.А. проф., корр.-мүшесі (Қазақстан)
Байтулин И.О. проф., академик (Қазақстан)
Банас Иозеф проф. (Польша)
Берсимбаев Р.И. проф., академик (Қазақстан)
Велесько С. проф. (Германия)
Велихов Е.П. проф., РҒА академигі (Ресей)
Гашимзаде Ф. проф., академик (Әзірбайжан)
Гончарук В.В. проф., академик (Украина)
Давлетов А.Е. проф., корр.-мүшесі (Қазақстан)
Джрбашян Р.Т. проф., академик (Армения)
Қалимолдаев М.Н. проф., академик (Қазақстан), бас ред. орынбасары
Лаверов Н.П. проф., академик РАН (Россия)
Лунашку Ф. проф., корр.-мүшесі (Молдова)
Мохд Хасан Селамат проф. (Малайзия)
Мырхалықов Ж.У. проф., академик (Қазақстан)
Новак Изабелла проф. (Польша)
Огарь Н.П. проф., корр.-мүшесі (Қазақстан)
Полещук О.Х. проф. (Ресей)
Поняев А.И. проф. (Ресей)
Сагиян А.С. проф., академик (Армения)
Сатубалдин С.С. проф., академик (Қазақстан)
Таткеева Г.Г. проф., корр.-мүшесі (Қазақстан)
Умбетаев И. проф., академик (Қазақстан)
Хрипунов Г.С. проф. (Украина)
Юлдашбаев Ю.А. проф., РҒА академигі (Ресей)
Якубова М.М. проф., академик (Тәжікстан)

«Қазақстан Республикасы Ұлттық ғылым академиясының Хабаршысы».

ISSN 2518-1467 (Online),

ISSN 1991-3494 (Print)

Меншіктенуші: «Қазақстан Республикасының Ұлттық ғылым академиясы»РҚБ (Алматы қ.).

Қазақстан республикасының Мәдениет пен ақпарат министрлігінің Ақпарат және мұрағат комитетінде
01.06.2006 ж. берілген №5551-Ж мерзімдік басылым тіркеуіне қойылу туралы куәлік.

Мерзімділігі: жылына 6 рет.

Тиражы: 2000 дана.

Редакцияның мекенжайы: 050010, Алматы қ., Шевченко көш., 28, 219 бөл., 220, тел.: 272-13-19, 272-13-18,
<http://www.bulletin-science.kz/index.php/en/>

© Қазақстан Республикасының Ұлттық ғылым академиясы, 2020

Типографияның мекенжайы: «NurNaz GRACE», Алматы қ., Рысқұлов көш., 103.

Г л а в н ы й р е д а к т о р
д.х.н., проф. академик НАН РК
М.Ж. Журинов

Р е д а к ц и о н н а я к о л л е г и я:

Абиев Р.Ш. проф. (Россия)
Абишев М.Е. проф., чл.-корр. (Казахстан)
Аврамов К.В. проф. (Украина)
Апель Юрген проф. (Германия)
Баймуканов Д.А. проф., чл.-корр. (Казахстан)
Байтулин И.О. проф., академик (Казахстан)
Банас Иозеф проф. (Польша)
Берсимбаев Р.И. проф., академик (Казахстан)
Велесько С. проф. (Германия)
Велихов Е.П. проф., академик РАН (Россия)
Гашимзаде Ф. проф., академик (Азербайджан)
Гончарук В.В. проф., академик (Украина)
Давлетов А.Е. проф., чл.-корр. (Казахстан)
Джрбашян Р.Т. проф., академик (Армения)
Калимолдаев М.Н. академик (Казахстан), зам. гл. ред.
Лаверов Н.П. проф., академик РАН (Россия)
Лунашку Ф. проф., чл.-корр. (Молдова)
Мохд Хасан Селамат проф. (Малайзия)
Мырхалыков Ж.У. проф., академик (Казахстан)
Новак Изабелла проф. (Польша)
Огарь Н.П. проф., чл.-корр. (Казахстан)
Полещук О.Х. проф. (Россия)
Поняев А.И. проф. (Россия)
Сагян А.С. проф., академик (Армения)
Сатубалдин С.С. проф., академик (Казахстан)
Таткеева Г.Г. проф., чл.-корр. (Казахстан)
Умбетаев И. проф., академик (Казахстан)
Хрипунов Г.С. проф. (Украина)
Юлдашбаев Ю.А. проф., академик РАН (Россия)
Якубова М.М. проф., академик (Таджикистан)

«Вестник Национальной академии наук Республики Казахстан».

ISSN 2518-1467 (Online),

ISSN 1991-3494 (Print)

Собственник: РОО «Национальная академия наук Республики Казахстан» (г. Алматы).

Свидетельство о постановке на учет периодического печатного издания в Комитете информации и архивов
Министерства культуры и информации Республики Казахстан №5551-Ж, выданное 01.06.2006 г.

Периодичность: 6 раз в год.

Тираж: 2000 экземпляров.

Адрес редакции: 050010, г. Алматы, ул. Шевченко, 28, ком. 219, 220, тел. 272-13-19, 272-13-18.

<http://www.bulletin-science.kz/index.php/en/>

© Национальная академия наук Республики Казахстан, 2020

Адрес типографии: «NurNazGRACE», г. Алматы, ул. Рыскулова, 103.

Editor in chief

doctor of chemistry, professor, academician of NAS RK

M.Zh. Zhurinov

Editorial board:

Abiyev R.Sh. prof. (Russia)
Abishev M.Ye. prof., corr. member (Kazakhstan)
Avramov K.V. prof. (Ukraine)
Appel Jurgen, prof. (Germany)
Baimukanov D.A. prof., corr. member (Kazakhstan)
Baitullin I.O. prof., academician (Kazakhstan)
Joseph Banas, prof. (Poland)
Bersimbayev R.I. prof., academician (Kazakhstan)
Velesco S., prof. (Germany)
Velikhov Ye.P. prof., academician of RAS (Russia)
Gashimzade F. prof., academician (Azerbaijan)
Goncharuk V.V. prof., academician (Ukraine)
Davletov A.Ye. prof., corr. member (Kazakhstan)
Dzhrbashian R.T. prof., academician (Armenia)
Kalimoldayev M.N. prof., academician (Kazakhstan), deputy editor in chief
Laverov N.P. prof., academician of RAS (Russia)
Lupashku F. prof., corr. member (Moldova)
Mohd Hassan Selamat, prof. (Malaysia)
Myrkhalykov Zh.U. prof., academician (Kazakhstan)
Nowak Isabella, prof. (Poland)
Ogar N.P. prof., corr. member (Kazakhstan)
Poleshchuk O.Kh. prof. (Russia)
Ponyaev A.I. prof. (Russia)
Sagiyani A.S. prof., academician (Armenia)
Satubaldin S.S. prof., academician (Kazakhstan)
Tatkeyeva G.G. prof., corr. member (Kazakhstan)
Umbetayev I. prof., academician (Kazakhstan)
Khripunov G.S. prof. (Ukraine)
Yuldashbayev Y.A., prof., academician of RAS (Russia)
Yakubova M.M. prof., academician (Tadjikistan)

Bulletin of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan.

ISSN 2518-1467 (Online),

ISSN 1991-3494 (Print)

Owner: RPA "National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan" (Almaty).

The certificate of registration of a periodic printed publication in the Committee of Information and Archives of the Ministry of Culture and Information of the Republic of Kazakhstan N 5551-Ж, issued 01.06.2006.

Periodicity: 6 times a year.

Circulation: 2000 copies.

Editorial address: 28, Shevchenko str., of. 219, 220, Almaty, 050010, tel. 272-13-19, 272-13-18,

<http://www.bulletin-science.kz/index.php/en/>

© National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, 2020

Address of printing house: «NurNaz GRACE», 103, Ryskulov str, Almaty.

A. K. Omarova¹, A. Zh. Kaztuganova¹, D. F. Karomat²

¹Institute of Literature and Art named after M. Auezov, Almaty, Kazakhstan;

²Institute of Art Sciences, Academy of Sciences of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.

E-mail: aklima_omarova@mail.ru, zhasaganbergen@mail.ru, dilkaramat@gmail.com

GLOBALIZATION AND ETHNOMUSICOLOGY

Abstract. The interpretation of the category “genre” which is presented based on the classification of makom, due to its internal nature, causes disagreement among the scholars, and difficulties due to its designation of a specific type of work and/or national art form.

In particular, the emphasis on circumstances related to the centuries-old, extended, regional, situational development in line with the oral tradition and in the frame of improvisational art, and the definition of the national type of musical art as a “genre” lead to disproportionate indicators in theoretical issues.

In this regard, the reasons for the incorrect use of the category “genre” in the studies of the Kazakh kuy art were commented: in one case, it is correlated as a whole with the “kuy” phenomenon, in the other, it is used in relation to certain phenomena arising from the study of its internal distinctive nature.

The “Triad of factors” – multivariance, cyclicity and locality – which formed the basis for conceptual generalizations of the famous musicologist T.B. Gafurbekov in the works revealing its system-forming nature in monodic culture is shown in conjunction with principles that reflect the genre specificity of instrumental music of the Kazakhs.

The groups of macrovolume can include “Akzhelen”, “Kosbasar”, “Nauayi”, the microvolume barnch by Kurmangazy “Kisen ashkan”, “Kobik shashkan”, “Turmeden kashkan” etc.

The regional kuys with the same name by Kurmangazy, Dauletkey, Dina “Zhiger” can be cited as an example. The situational kuys include “Kenes”, “16-zhyl” etc.

As a final conclusion, the importance of considering the art of the Kazakh kuy in the system of monodic cultures and a new “clarification” of its inner nature is emphasized. From this point of view, the theoretical concept of T.B. Gafurbekov is updated as capable of forming a common scientific platform for studying the traditions of the Turkic-speaking peoples based on improvisation.

Key words: kuy, makom, monody, multivariance, cyclicity, locality, music, tradition, musicologist, scientist.

Introduction. In the global era, the emergence and development of new trends and areas, new style types and genres require theoretical coverage. In recent years, we see that in the studies, a comprehensive study of musical heritage of the Turkic peoples is the priority, however, the issues of their understanding in terms of monodic cultures remains “in the background”. In this regard, updating the process of studying the nature of traditional Kazakh music in its gradation into genres (by the name, and depending on the options, type, branches, region, situation) in the context of monodic cultures, it is necessary to undertake it from new positions – on the basis of scientific researches which currently exist.

Methods. A musical study of monodic cultures begins with treatises by great thinkers of the Middle Ages - Abu Nasr al-Farabi, Abu Ali Ibn Sina, Abu Abdullah Khorezmi, Ahmadi, Abdurahman Jami, Darwish Al-Bukhari and others. The scientist, the founder of musical science of the eastern states, Abu Nasr al-Farabi was the first who theoretically interpreted the concept of the instrumental musical genre in connection with the sound pitch, sound range, its types, rhythm and its structure [1].

The monodic existence of the dombra tradition should be comprehended in terms of the studies devoted to the art of the peoples of Central Asia as well as the Kazakh kuy in particular. At the same time, the achievement of the qualitatively new level of understanding of its nature is possible through the

attraction of logical principles and conclusions from the fundamental works of domestic and foreign scientists and researchers, namely, through the analysis of key points of methodologically significant scientific works in kuy studies of such authors as A. Zhubanov, P. Aravin, B. Erzakovich, N. Tiftikidi, B. Amanov, A. Mukhambetova, S. Utegaliev, P. Shegebaev, G. Omarova, S. Raiymbergenova, S. Kaliev, R. Nesipbay, and through the involvement of theoretical issues developed in connection with monody music by Gafurbekov, S. P. Galitskaya, P. N. Plakhov, B. I. Karakulov, Sh. G. Gulliev, K. Sh. Dyushaliev, J. K. Rasultaev, A. N. Azimova, R. Yu. Yunusov, T. M. Jani-zade et al.

Results. The practice of studying the art of song, kuy, zhyr, which form the basis of Kazakh traditional music, in connection with monodic cultures was reflected in the works of domestic researchers. So, A.I. Mukhambetova, emphasizing the issues related to the nature of the Kazakh kuy, analyzes the specifics of the correlation between subdivisions (vocal, instrumental) in the structure of shashmakom and kuy, fixes the common rhythmic units, and compares specific terms [2], L. Ya. Kopbayeva, noting the compliance of the concept “makam” with the mode and genre spheres, shows the dependence of genre designation in kuy on modal structure [3], R. T. Nesipbay, based on the works of scientists who have studied the theoretical foundations of monody (Yu. Plakhov, S. Galitskaya, T. Jani-Zade, T. Osina, L. Khaltava and others), studies the structure and composition of the kuy in the context of bourdon polyphony and eastern monody [4].

The designation of the category “genre” based on the classification of the makom by its internal nature presented in the studies of monodic culture, causing disagreement among scholars, is difficult due to its use in relation to a specific type of works and /or national type of art. In particular, the centuries-old, extensive, regional, situational reasons which develop in oral traditions within the frame of improvisational art and the definition as a “genre” of the national type of musical art result in a disproportional index in theoretical issues. Therefore, the negative use of the category “genre” is also observed in the studies of Kazakh-kuy art. Moreover, in one case, the kuy itself is considered as a genre, in the other, factors arising in connection with the study of its internal nature are characterized as a genre. In this regard, during the study of this issue, it was noted: “In the process of studying Kazakh instrumental music, the category “genre” is often applied to various phenomena: in relation to the art of kuy in particular, to performing traditions – tokpe and shertpe, to the thematic features of specific kuy (historical, philosophical genre), their functional aspect (ritual, magical), certain kuy of the same name are also defined as separate genres” [5, 245 p.].

Differentiation of the internal (i.e. extensive, variable, regional, situational) factors in Kazakh instrumental music contributed to the awareness of the appearance of the kuy with the same name. T. B. Gafurbekov, who focused on the research aspects in understanding of the role of such factors in monodic culture, clearly outlined their theoretical concept. Presenting a systematization of internal factors in the study of makom as the main genre of monodic culture, T. B. Gafurbekov essentially opens up new possibilities for solving complex issues which result in discussions, problems, in particular, in defining the essence of the “genre”. The scientist, determined the “triple factor” which characterizes the oral tradition in the immanent aspect, in its multivariant features, cycle features and locality, clarified these positions in the understanding of specific genres for kuy studies.

According to the researcher: “Uzbek folklore and oral-professional creativity in the process of its centuries-old development has developed specific factors of vitality in the context of oral tradition. Among them, we consider the following factors as fundamental: cycle formation in macro – and micro-aspects; multivariant features leading to a new figurative-semantic sphere; localization as an enduring condition for the existence of the stylistically diverse musical heritage of the Uzbek people ...” [6, 58 p.] – this is a phenomenon which is characteristic of monodic culture and other countries.

It is important that particularly the “triad of factors” determine the tendency of national musical and creative manifestations to traditions, ensuring their continuous updating. The desire to achieve artistic heights in monodic music is perceived as a catalyst of the processes associated with the manifestation of individual personality traits in the dynamics of their increased importance. The scientist writes about this: “This triad of factors ensures, on the one hand, the commitment of national musical and creative thought to traditions, and on the other hand, directs it to the continuous updating of these traditions. The constant pursuit of artistic excellence is the driving force of the monody, creating that favorable atmosphere that

awakens the fantasy, arouses the desire for creative expression, individual manifestation of personality” [6, 58].

T.B. Gafurbekov, who considered makom as a genre in the system of national music in monodic culture, on the basis of studying the genesis of a centuries-old, extensive and regionally differentiated system of folklore and oral-professional creativity, including varieties of Bukhara makom, especially focusing on the versatility and regional characteristics of Shashmakom performing art, formulated the important thesis in the following lines: “The abundance of such examples not only in makoms, but also in other genres – dastans, as kattaashula, ashula (see the cycle based on the verses by Mukimi "Kalandar I-V») and the coherence of this process with the immanent resources, i.e. multivariant features, cycle formation and localization of the works, indicates the diffuse manifestation of the considered triad of potential factors of the national monody” [6, 70c.].

If we try to connect this concept with traditional Kazakh musical culture, in particular, with kuy, song, terme, dastan, epic and other genre varieties, then it is necessary to perceive their multivariant feature, cyclic features and local features precisely as factors inherent in the national monody. Besides this, the immanent resources of these and other genres should be identified and studied in the context of the changes brought by globalization.

In determining the extensive properties of makoms, their macro- and microvolume properties are shown: “The cycle-forming factor is manifested equally, but in different processions in macro- (cycles of dastans, makoms – “Duvozhakhmakom”, “Shashmakom”, Khorezm makoms, Fergana-Tashkent makom cycles) and microvolumes (cycles of specific melodies and songs: “Munodjot” – “Savti Munodjot” – “Ufari Munodjot”; “Tanovar” – “Tanovar-II” – “Tanovar-III” – “Tanovar-IV”)” [6, 59 p.].

If we consider “extensive” Kazakh kuy in accordance with these theoretical categories, then they should be distinguished as macro-and micro-voluminous. The groups of macrovolume can include “Akzhelen”, “Kosbasar”, “Nauayi”, “Togyz tarau”, etc. Since, in the nature of these kuy there is a composition of 62 “branches” they should be considered as macrovolumes in terms of duration, scale, and content.

The microvolume kuy barnch includes “Alyp kara kus” – “Anshynyn estirtui”, “Kempirdin zary”, “Anshynyn zhylauy men zhubatuyi”, 3 kuy by Kurmangazy “Kisen ashkan”, “Kobik shashkan”, “Turmeden kashkan” “Estirtu”, “Arnau”, “Zarlau”, “Zhoktau”, kyu by Sybankul Kolbasuly “Akku” – “Akkudin atamekenenine kaiytvani”, “Akkudy bidaiyk kustin kugani”, “Akkudin balaylandarin aimalau” etc.

If we consider the regional traditions of the Kazakh kuy or song art, then, in accordance with T.G. Gafurbekov’s opinion, precisely in the context of the compare created by different authors, the eponymous, single-mode, single-genre works were created. The scientist states: “The share of one’s own beginning was and remains the determining criterion in assessing the artistic and professional merits of the works of monodic creativity. In this regard, the tradition of measurement, comparison of the works of one author with the works of another deserves special attention. The abundance of such examples both in the past (many pages of “Baburname”, treatises on music by Darwish Ali Changi and other authors are devoted to them), and at present lies in the fact that the eponymous, monadic, single-mode, single-genre works inspired some of the authors and rose creative talents of the others. Because of such creativity, their own similar works emerged. The degree of individuality of a certain author determined the further fate of the work both during its creation and in subsequent periods” [6, P.58-59].

In this connection, the regional kuy with same name by Kurmangazy, Dauletkerey, Dina (“Bulbul” or “Zhiger”), by Bapyshev and Sugur (“Yngaytokpe” and others) can be cited as an example.

Regarding the musical culture of Central Asia and Kazakhstan, one can state the compliance with those three categories, which, according to T. Gafurbekov, were differentially perceived in the context of monodic music play. The scientist emphasizes: “In the past, the performers of monodic works were divided into three categories: 1) reminding the listeners of a certain melody (i.e., the performers observers); 2) imitating the manner of performance of famous singers and musicians (i.e. imitators); 3) possessing their own manner of interpretation (i.e., creators) [6, 58p.].

The results of creative self-realization of performers of monodic works, as a rule, are reflected in various versions, predetermining such a phenomenon as multivariance. According to the musicologist:

“Similarly, the origins of multivariance are based on the incessant variety of folk performing practice. The emergence of an original variant, the acquisition of a new semantic look in this creative process, and moreover, the consolidation in the memory of the performers in such way – is the eternal dialectic law of folk music and creative thought existence [6, 63 p.].

So, for example, if we fix the variations of one kuy from those that are perceived as priceless heritage which is transferred from hands to hands, we should be aware that the performance of the kuy “Adai” of Kurmangazy by Dina Nurpeisova and Kali Zhantleuova differs from each other, as well as “Kyzylkayin” in time-saved versions of Okap Kabigozhin and Lukpan Mukhitov.

Among the kuy, which are called as forming one more genre, it is important to show a group of situational kuy. The basis of the “relation” between these kuy – is common names, performed function, legends and history of origin. These include “Kenes”, “Bozaygir”, “16-zhyl”, “Shattyk”, “Okinish”, “Sagynysh” and others.

From this point of view, the definition of a genre, based on the names of the kuy does not give a correct solution, since the name of the kuy, depending on various circumstances, can not only be saved, but also changed. For example, among kuy there are those which names do not reveal its main meaning. For example, in the kuy of Akbikesh “Ainamkaldy” it is told that one “thing” has remained, but in fact, it belongs to the group of rituals associated with the tradition of the girl’s farewell songs (“synsu”, “farewell”). The names of some folk kuy do not relate to the content of their music at all. The formality of such names is due to the desire to designate the kuy so that it does not get lost among many folklore works. In addition, it is known that some kuy were preserved among the population under various names (Kurmangazy’s kuy “Toemurat” was called “Kyz Danaydyn kyrgyny”, “Turkmen kuy” by Dauletkerei – was called in another way “Korogly”, Kazangap’s kuy “Yskirma” was called “Teriskakpai”). If the discovery of a genre is associated with concentration based on the kuy names, then two different mentioned examples should form two genres.

Conclusion. In this regard, it should be emphasized that, related to monodic traditions, it is necessary to know the theoretical concept of T. Gafurbekov and expand its application.

Today we see that the intonation fund of the kuyshi as performers in a monodic culture is gradually losing its high professional level. We must agree that kuyshi performers do not go beyond previous versions, and giving preference to Kali Zhantleuov’s or Dina Nurpeisova’s variants, they do not develop a composer’s component in kuyshi’s art. For this reason, the extinction of centuries-old multivariate and regional traditions is obvious. At the same time, preference is given to creating kuy based on situational circumstances. Therefore, the creative process of the kuy art development takes place under the influence of not the internal (immanent), but external factors. In this regard, the following thought of T.B. Gafurbekov is important: “Let’s say, at the level of the tangible component – the carrier of the semantics of the Uzbek monody – the intonation dictionary, stable-mobile resources manifest themselves both sequentially, consistent with general architectonics of monodic process, and at a distance. In case of the latter type of presentation of thought, each new (next) phrase, melodic turn or mood certainly has continuation with the previous material. In connection with the foregoing, the question may arise: is there a similar polyphony, both in the heritage of the peoples and in composer’s work? Of course there is. However, in monodic process with the individual entry of the performer-creator into the world of the native melodic dictionary, each intonation movement, i.e. re-intonation, intonation expansion and cutting, intonation integration (focusing intonations from other works in one image, de-intonation (as opposed to re-intonation is the destruction of a known intonation) and rethinking of intonations leads to intonation creation, from the perspective of which it is necessary to look for the keys to the semantic treasury of a certain monody” [7, 71p.].

As a final conclusion, we emphasize the importance of considering the art of the Kazakh kuy in the system of monodic cultures and a new “clearing” of its inner nature. From this point of view, the theoretical concept of T. B. Gafurbekov, based on three mentioned factors, can form a common scientific platform for studying the traditions of the Turkic-speaking peoples based on improvisation.

А. Қ. Омарова¹, А. Ж. Қазтуғанова¹, Д. Ф. Каромат²

¹М. Әуезов атындағы Әдебиет және өнер институты, Алматы, Қазақстан;

²Өзбекстан Республикасының Ғылым Академиясы Өнертану институты, Ташкент, Өзбекстан

ЖАҢАҢДАҢУ ЖӘНЕ ЭТНОМУЗЫКАТАҢУ

Аннотация. Монодиялық мәдениеттегі макомның зерттелуіндегі ішкі табиғатына орай жіктелуін негізге алғанда, «жанр» категориясының анықталуы жалпы ғалымдар арасында пікірталастар туғызады. Оның нақтылы бір туындылар түріне немесе ұлттық өнер түріне пайдалану тұрғысынан анықталуы қиынға түсуде. Турасын айтқанда, ауызша дәстүрдегі суырып-салма өнері арқылы дамитын көпнұсқалық, тармақтық, аймақтық, жағдаяттық себептер және ұлттық музыкалық өнер түрінің «жанр» ретінде анықталуы диспропорциялық көрсеткіш ретінде теориялық сұрақтарға жетелейді. Осыдан «жанр» категориясының зерттеулерде келеңсіз қолданылуы қазақтың күй өнерінде кездескендіктен, яғни бір жағдайда күйдің өзі жанр ретінде анықталған болса, басқасында оның ішкі табиғатына байланысты пайда болатын факторлардың да жанр ретінде анықталып жүргені осы мақаланың зерттелуіне жетелейді. Мақалада, монодиялық мәдениеттегі бұл факторларлардың ара-жігін ажыратып, ғылыми тұрыдан саралаған белгілі ғалым, музыка зерттеуші Т.Б. Гафурбековтың еңбектері назарға алынып, оның теориялық концепциясында белгіленген «үштік фактор», яғни көпнұсқалық, тармақтық, аймақтық тараптар қазақтың күй өнеріндегі ішкі құбылыстармен ұштастырылып зерттелді.

Бұл теориялық категориялар бойынша қазақтың тармақтық күйлерін макро- және микрокөлемді туындылар ретінде ажыратуға келеді. Макрокөлемдегі тармақтық күйлерге – «Ақжелең», «Қосбасар», «Науайы», «Тоғыз тарау» және т.б. жатқызсақ, микрокөлемдегі Құрманғазының 3 күйі «Кісен ашқан», «Көбік шашқан», «Түрмеден қашқан», Токаның 4 күйден тұратын «Естірту», «Арнау», «Зарлау», «Жоқтау», Сыбанқұл Қолбасұлы «Аққудың атамекеніне қайытқаны», «Аққуды бидайық құстың қуғаны», «Аққудың балапандарын аймалауы» айтуға болады.

Аймақтық аттас күйлерге мысал ретінде Құрманғазы, Дәулеткерей, Динаның «Бұлбұл», «Жігер» күйлері, Батыш пен Сүгірдің «Ыңғай төкпелері» және т.б.

Күй өнерінде тағы бір жанр ретінде аталатын күйлердің қатарында жағдаяттық күйлер тобын да көрсету маңызды. Бұл күйлердің қатарында күйлердің аттарының, атқаратын міндеттерінің, аңыздары мен шығу тарихының ортақтығы алдыға шығады. Олардың қатарына, «Кеңес», «Бозайғыр», «16-жыл», «Шаттық», «Өкініш», «Сағыныш» және т.б. жатады.

Нәтижесінде, қазақтың күй өнерінің табиғаты монодиялық мәдениеттердің жүйесінде қарастырып, оның ішкі болмысын айқындауда маңыздылығы белгіленді. Осы тұрғыдан алғанда Т. Б. Гафурбековтың теориялық концепциясы, ішінара үштік факторға негізделген ғылыми тұжырымдары суырып-салма дәстүріне негізделген жалпы түркітілдес халықтарына ортақ мәдениеттерге ғылыми платформа қалыптастыра алатындығы анықталды.

Түйін сөздер: күй, маком, монодия, көпнұсқалық, тармақтық, аймақтық, музыка, дәстүр, музыкатанушы, ғалым.

А. Қ. Омарова¹, А. Ж. Қазтуғанова¹, Д. Ф. Каромат²

¹Институт литературы и искусства им. М. О. Ауэзова, Алматы, Казахстан;

²Институт искусствознания АН РУз, Ташкент, Узбекистан

ГЛОБАЛИЗАЦИЯ И ЭТНОМУЗЫКОВЕДЕНИЕ

Аннотация. Представленная в исследованиях монодической культуры интерпретация категории «жанр», базирующаяся на классификации макома исходя из его внутренней природы, порождая среди ученых разногласия, вызывает затруднения из-за обозначения ею конкретного вида произведений и/или национального вида искусства.

В частности, акцент на обстоятельствах, связанных с многовековым, разветвленным, региональным, ситуативным развитием в русле устной традиции и в рамках импровизационного искусства, и определение национального вида музыкального искусства как «жанра» приводят к диспропорциональным показателям в теоретических вопросах.

В этой связи прокомментированы причины, обусловившие некорректное использование категории «жанр» и в исследованиях казахского кюйевого искусства: в одном случае ее соотносят в целом с феноменом

«кюй», в другом – используют в отношении отдельных явлений, возникающих в процессе изучения его внутренне-самобытной природы.

«Триада факторов» – многовариантность, цикличность и локальность – составившая основу для концептуальных обобщений известного ученого-музыковеда Т.Б. Гафурбекова в трудах, раскрывающих ее системообразующий характер в монодической культуре, показана во взаимосвязи с принципами, отражающими жанровую специфику инструментальной музыки казахов.

К группам макрообъемных можно отнести «Акжелен», «Косбасар», «Науайы», и др., поскольку в природе этих кюев – композиция из 62 «ответвлений». К ветви микрообъемных относятся «Алып қара құс» – «Аңшының естіртуі», «Кемпірдің зары», «Аңшының жылауы мен жұбатуы»; «Кісен ашқан», «Көбік шашқан», «Түрмеден қашқан» Курмангазы, «Естірту», «Арнау», «Зарлау», «Жоқтау» Тока, «Аққудың атамекеніне қайытқаны», «Аққуды бидайық құстың қуғаны», «Аққудың балапандарын аймалауы» Сыбанкула Колбасулы и др.

В качестве примера приведены региональные одноименные кюи Курмангазы, Даулеткерей, Дины («Бұлбұл», «Жігер»), Бапыша и Сугура («Ыңғай төкпе» и др.).

В числе формирующих еще один жанр важно показать и группу ситуационных кюев. В основе «родства» – общность названий, функций, легенд и историй происхождения. В их числе – «Кенес», «Бозайғыр», «16-жыл», «Сағыныш» и др.

В качестве итогового вывода подчеркнута важность рассмотрения искусства казахского кюя в системе монодических культур и нового «прояснения» его внутренней природы. С этой точки зрения представленная в статье теоретическая концепция Т.Б. Гафурбекова актуализирована как способная сформировать для изучения традиций тюркоязычных народов, основанных на импровизации, на общую научную платформу.

Ключевые слова: кюй, маком, монодия, многовариантность, цикличность, локальность, музыка, традиция, музыковед, ученый.

Information about authors:

Omarova Aklima, Candidate of Art Sciences, Associate Professor, Institute of Literature and Art named for M. Auezov, Almaty, Kazakhstan; aklima_omarova@mail.ru; <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8681-2207>

Kaztuganova Ainur, Candidate of Art Sciences, Institute of Literature and Art named for M. Auezov, Almaty, Kazakhstan; zhasaganbergen@mail.ru; <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1248-2759>

Karomat Dilorom, PhD, Institute of Art Sciences, Academy of Sciences of Uzbekistan Tashkent, Uzbekistan; dilkaramat@gmail.com; <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9025-6194>

REFERENCES

- [1] Al-Farabi (1993) Treatises on music and poetry/Trans. from Arabic. Almaty, Gylm (in Russ.).
- [2] Duisen G., Aitzhanova D. (2018) Formation of unified area of Kazakhstan and Central Asia: Issues and opportunities. Bulletin of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Volume 6, Number 376 (2018), P. 192–199. <https://doi.org/10.32014/2018.2518-1467.45> ISSN 1991-3494
- [3] Amanov B.Zh., Mukhambetova A.I. (2002) Kazakh traditional music and the XX century. Almaty, Daik-Press, P. 236–287. ISBN: 5-7667-0045-8 (in Russ.).
- [4] Копбаева Л.Я. (1994) Kazakh kuy in the line of traditional genres of music of Central Asia (The insight into the aspect of Turkology). Proceedings of the I International Symposium of Turkic national musicians. Almaty. P. 124-125 (in Russ.).
- [5] Nesipbay R.T. (2000) Kuy-tokpe in the system of traditional world relations of the Kazakhs (issues of the theme, form and composition). Almaty, Nauka (in Russ.).
- [6] Kaztukanova A.Zh. (2008) Kazakh dedication kuy (genre and style issues). Monograph. Almaty. ISBN: 978-601-230-004-8 (in Kaz.).
- [7] Gafurbekov T.B. (1984) The folklore origins of Uzbek professional musical creativity. Tashkent: Ukituvchi (in Russ.).
- [8] Gafurbekov T.B. (1987) Creative resources of the national monody and their refraction in the Uzbek Soviet music. Tashkent, Fan (in Russ.).

Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice in the journals of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan

For information on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication see <http://www.elsevier.com/publishingethics> and <http://www.elsevier.com/journal-authors/ethics>.

Submission of an article to the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan implies that the described work has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture or academic thesis or as an electronic preprint, see <http://www.elsevier.com/postingpolicy>), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. In particular, translations into English of papers already published in another language are not accepted.

No other forms of scientific misconduct are allowed, such as plagiarism, falsification, fraudulent data, incorrect interpretation of other works, incorrect citations, etc. The National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan follows the Code of Conduct of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), and follows the COPE Flowcharts for Resolving Cases of Suspected Misconduct (http://publicationethics.org/files/u2/New_Code.pdf). To verify originality, your article may be checked by the Cross Check originality detection service <http://www.elsevier.com/editors/plagdetect>.

The authors are obliged to participate in peer review process and be ready to provide corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. All authors of a paper should have significantly contributed to the research.

The reviewers should provide objective judgments and should point out relevant published works which are not yet cited. Reviewed articles should be treated confidentially. The reviewers will be chosen in such a way that there is no conflict of interests with respect to the research, the authors and/or the research funders.

The editors have complete responsibility and authority to reject or accept a paper, and they will only accept a paper when reasonably certain. They will preserve anonymity of reviewers and promote publication of corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. The acceptance of a paper automatically implies the copyright transfer to the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan.

The Editorial Board of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan will monitor and safeguard publishing ethics.

Правила оформления статьи для публикации в журнале смотреть на сайте:

[www:nauka-nanrk.kz](http://www.nauka-nanrk.kz)

ISSN 2518-1467 (Online), ISSN 1991-3494 (Print)

<http://www.bulletin-science.kz/index.php/en/>

Редакторы *М. С. Ахметова, Т. А. Апендиев, Д. С. Аленов*
Верстка на компьютере *Д. А. Абдрахимовой*

Подписано в печать 10.02.2020.
Формат 60x881/8. Бумага офсетная. Печать – ризограф.
19,25 п.л. Тираж 500. Заказ 1.